## LD Intro Project Rubrics by C. Kohn, Waterford WI

4

Part 1 Score: + ✓ -

Part 2 Score: + ✓ -

Name:	Hour
-------	------

The following will be used to assess your Part 1 project.

Exceeded Expectations	Met Expectations	Below Expectations
Summary of selected location was detailed, well-written, and easy to picture.	Summary of location was well-written but more could have been done to make it easy to picture this location.	It was hard to picture this location due to how this was written and/or because of a lack of detail.
5 specific components were well described and made it clear why this was an enjoyable place.	4 or 5 components were included but more details were needed.	Less than 4 components were described or not enough detail was provided in the 5 components.
All of the following were addressed: entry, borders, views, sound, vegetation, non-plant components, structures, maintenance, and improvement.	Most of these were addressed: entry, borders, views, sound, vegetation, non-plant components, structures, maintenance, and improvement.	Multiple components from this section were not addressed.
This is free of all spelling and grammar errors.	Some grammar and/or spelling errors were found but they are largely absent from the paper.	Spelling and/or grammar problems were found repeatedly throughout the paper.
Effort exceeds expectations.	Effort meets expectations.	Effort is below expectations.
Overall, this paper exceeds what was expected for this assignment.	Overall, this paper meets what was expected for this assignment.	Overall, this paper is below what was expected for this assignment.

The following will be used to assess your Part 2 project.

Exceeded Expectations	Met Expectations	Below Expectations
Summary of appeal of this example was detailed, well-written, and easy to picture.	Summary of appeal of this example was well-written but more could have been done to make it easy to picture this location.	It was difficult to determine why this example was chosen due to the writing and/or because of a lack of detail.
Objective of the landscape example is clear, direct, and supported by evidence.	Objective of this example landscape is discernible but needs more to make an effective case.	Objective of the landscape is not clearly argued.
All of the following were addressed: entry, borders, views, vegetation, non-plant components, structures, maintenance.	Most of these were addressed: entry, borders, views, vegetation, non-plant components, structures, maintenance.	Multiple components from this section were not addresssed.
This is free of all spelling and grammar errors.	Some grammar and/or spelling errors were found but they are largely absent from the paper.	Spelling and/or grammar problems were found repeatedly throughout the paper.
Effort exceeds expectations.	Effort meets expectations.	Effort is below expectations.
Overall, this paper exceeds what was expected for this assignment.	Overall, this paper meets what was expected for this assignment.	Overall, this paper is below what was expected for this assignment.